1 2 3 4 5 UNITED STATES DISTRICT COURT 6 WESTERN DISTRICT OF WASHINGTON AT SEATTLE 7 VALENTIN BUTOV, CASE NO. C20-961 RSM 8 Petitioner, ORDER FOR SERVICE AND ANSWER, 9 § 2255 MOTION v. 10 UNITED STATES OF AMERICA, 11 Respondent. 12 13 This matter is before the Court on Petitioner's Motion to Vacate Judgment Under 28 14 U.S.C. § 2255. Dkt. #1 ("Petitioner's § 2255 Motion"). After preliminary review of Petitioner's 15 § 2255 Motion, the Court ORDERS as follows: 16 1. If not previously accomplished, electronic posting of this Order and Petitioner's § 2255 17 Motion shall effect service upon the United States Attorney of Petitioner's § 2255 Motion 18 and all documents in support thereof. 19 2. Within forty-five (45) days after the filing of Petitioner's § 2255 Motion, the United 20 States shall file and serve an Answer in accordance with Rule 5 of the Rules Governing 21 Section 2255 Cases in United States District Courts or shall otherwise respond. As part 22 of any Answer filed, the United States shall state its position as to whether an evidentiary 23 hearing is necessary, whether any discovery is necessary, whether there is any issue as to 24 ORDER - 1

Case 2:20-cv-00961-RSM Document 5 Filed 06/24/20 Page 2 of 2

abuse or delay under Rule 9, and whether Petitioner's § 2255 Motion is barred by the statute of limitations. 3. On the face of its Answer, the United States shall note this matter for the fourth Friday following the filing of its Answer, and the Clerk shall note the matter on the Court's docket accordingly. Petitioner may file a Reply to the Answer no later than that noting date. DATED this 24th day of June, 2020. RICARDO S. MARTINEZ CHIEF UNITED STATES DISTRICT JUDGE